

September 21, 2009

CONFIDENTIAL

BY PERSONAL SERVICE

Anthony J. Jasich
Barrister and Solicitor
403 – 567 Lonsdale Avenue
North Vancouver BC V7M 2G6

Dear Mr. Jasich:

Re: Outstanding Citation

I enclose a sealed copy of a citation which has been issued against you at the direction of the Chair of the Discipline Committee. The procedure to be followed at the hearing of the matter is outlined in Parts 4 and 5 of the Rules of the Law Society of British Columbia.

No agreement between the Law Society and the respondent having been reached by this date, pursuant to Rule 4-24(1) of the Law Society Rules, I have set March 2nd and 3rd, 2010, as the date for the hearing in the above matter.

Stuart Cameron

Director/of Discipline

SC/mar

IN THE MATTER OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION ACT

AND

IN THE MATTER OF A HEARING CONCERNING ANTHONY JOSEPH JASICH

(a member of the Law Society of British Columbia)

TO: Anthony J. Jasich
Barrister and Solicitor
403 – 567 Lonsdale Avenue
North Vancouver BC V7M 2G6

TAKE NOTICE THAT by direction of the Chair of the Discipline Committee of the Law Society of British Columbia, a Hearing Panel of the Law Society will, on the day and at the time hereinafter mentioned, conduct a Hearing to inquire into your conduct or competence, as a member of the Law Society of British Columbia, the nature of which is stated in the Schedule attached hereto to determine:

- a) whether you have done one or more of the following:
 - i) professionally misconducted yourself;
 - ii) conducted yourself in a manner unbecoming a lawyer;
 - iii) contravened the Legal Profession Act or a rule made under it;
 - iv) incompetently carried out duties undertaken by you in your capacity as a member of the Society.

FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT the said Hearing will be held on March 2nd and 3rd, 2010, at 9:30 in the forenoon at the offices of the Law Society of British Columbia, Suite 800, 845 Cambie Street, Vancouver, British Columbia. You may appear personally or with counsel at the Hearing. Your attention is drawn to Part 4 and Part 5 of the Rules of the Law Society of British Columbia which outline the procedures to be followed at the Hearing. Your attention is further drawn to the fact that your appearance before the Hearing Panel may be your sole apportunity to present evidence and call witnesses.

AND FURTHER TAKE NOTICE THAT if you fail to appear at the Hearing at the aforesaid time and place, the Hearing Panel may proceed with the Hearing in your absence and make any order that it or they could have made had you been present.

DATED at Vancouver, British Columbia, this 21st day of september 2009.

Director of Discipline

The Law Society of British Columbia

SCHEDULE RE: ANTHONY J. JASICH

Nature of your conduct and/or competence to be inquired into:

- 1. In representing your client Harold Gaffney (the "Client"), you engaged in the following conduct:
 - a. you continued to forward on the Client's behalf, from December 2007 onward, monthly money orders to CIBC Mortgage Inc. as purported payments on a mortgage at 312 450 Bromley Street, Coquitlam, BC (the "Mortgage") when the Mortgage had been discharged by order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia on or about November 26, 2007 (the "Order");
 - b. you persisted in the conduct referred to above despite such conduct being prejudicial to both the Client and to CIBC, and in the face of numerous requests by CIBC that you cease and desist;
 - c. you stated in your letter to CIBC dated September 29, 2008, that the Client would "continue to service his mortgage with CIBC, until CIBC finally shows a true copy of a mortgage payout and showing that my client is no longer liable for his mortgage, which to date CIBC has failed to do" when CIBC had provided you with a copy of the discharge statement in respect of the Mortgage in its letters dated February 25, 2008 and April 14, 2008; and
 - d. in your letter to CIBC dated September 29, 2008, written in the course of your representation of the Client, you stated in respect of the Mortgage and the Order, without any reasonable basis for so doing, that the lawyer representing the Client's spouse (Keith Oliver) was attempting "to get CIBC to go along with" a "massive theft of equity in the fraudulent transfer of property transaction" and by insinuating, among other things, that Mr. Oliver's alleged activities were an example of "white collar crime".
- 2. You failed to provide a substantive response to the questions posed to you in the Law Society's letters dated January 23, 2009, February 26, 2009, March 4, 2009, and March 17, 2009.